

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number.



# TRANSMITTAL FORM

(to be used for all correspondence after initial filing)

Application Number 09/819,941

Filing Date March 27, 2001

First Named Inventor Stacey, Fred, et al.

Group Art Unit 2183

Examiner Name Unassigned

Total Number of Pages in This Submission

1

Attorney Docket Number 020510-10.00

RECEIVED

AUG 08 2001

Technology Center 2100

## ENCLOSURES (check all that apply)

 Fee Transmittal Form Fee Attached Amendment / Response After Final Affidavits/declaration(s) Extension of Time Request Express Abandonment Request Information Disclosure Statement Certified Copy of Priority Document(s) Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53 Assignment Papers  
(for an Application) Drawing(s) Licensing-related Papers Petition Routing Slip (PTO/SB/69) and Accompanying Petition Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application Power of Attorney, Revocation Change of Correspondence Address Terminal Disclaimer Request for Refund CD, Number of CD(s) After Allowance Communication to Group Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences Appeal Communication to Group (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief) Proprietary Information Status Letter Other Enclosure(s)  
(please identify below):

Return Receipt Postcard

## Remarks

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees to Deposit Account 20-1430.

## SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT, ATTORNEY, OR AGENT

Firm and Individual name	Townsend and Townsend and Crew LLP Kenneth R. Allen	
Signature		
Date	August 2, 2001	

## CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231 on this date:

August 2, 2001

Typed or printed name	Diane Hawley		
Signature		Date	August 2, 2001

Burden Hour Statement: This form is estimated to take 0.2 hours to complete. Time will vary depending upon the needs of the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you are required to complete this form should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, Washington, DC 20231. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, DC 20231.

PA 3161140 v1



Office de la propriété  
intellectuelle  
du Canada

Un organisme  
d'Industrie Canada

Canadian  
Intellectual Property  
Office

An Agency of  
Industry Canada

RECEIVED

AUG 08 2001

Technology Center 2100



Bureau canadien  
des brevets  
Certification

La présente atteste que les documents  
ci-joints, dont la liste figure ci-dessous,  
sont des copies authentiques des docu-  
ments déposés au Bureau des brevets.

Canadian Patent  
Office  
Certification

This is to certify that the documents  
attached hereto and identified below are  
true copies of the documents on file in  
the Patent Office.

Specification and Drawings, as originally filed, with Application for Patent Serial No:  
2,303,604, on March 31, 2000, by CATENA TECHNOLOGIES CANADA, INC.,  
assignee of Fred Stacey, Christian Bourget and Yatish Kumar, for "Flexible Buffering  
Scheme for Multi-Rate Simd Processor".

Agent certificateur/Certifying Officer

July 19, 2001

Date

Canada

(CIP 68)  
01-12-00

O P I C C I P O

**ABSTRACT**

There is provided a Single Instruction Multi Data (SIMD) architecture for controlling the processing of plurality of data streams. The SIMD architecture comprises a memory for storing the data from the channels, a processor operatively coupled with the memory for processing data from the data streams, and a controller for controlling the processor.

5 Storing the data in the memory de-couples the operating rate of the processor and the operating rate of the data streams.

## Flexible Buffering Scheme for Multi-Rate SIMD Processor

The present invention relates to Single Instruction Multi Data processors.

### BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION

5 A Single Instruction, Multi Data (SIMD) processor essentially consists of a single Program Control Unit (PCU) that controls the data processing of any number of data channels. Figure 1a illustrates one possible SIMD configuration, represented by the numeral 10. The PCU 12 is coupled to N data paths 13, implying a parallel-processing scheme. Each data path 13 is coupled to a data memory 14 and a processor 15.

10 Figure 1b illustrates a second SIMD configuration, represented by the numeral 16. In this configuration, the sharing of the instruction is done serially by time division multiplexing the processing in a data path 18 for all channels. The data is, therefore, changed N times at the input to the data processor 15 for every instruction that is produced.

15 The advantages that the SIMD architecture provides are savings in both power consumption and area reduction when compared to a solution using dedicated processors for each data path, or channel. The savings come from having only one PCU and one program memory. If the data path processor is also being time shared, as in figure 1b, further savings in area reduction are realized. However, the processor must be able run at higher speeds to accommodate multiple channels. Furthermore, simplifications are also 20 made at a higher level, since there is only one program load to manage and track. Having only one program load reduces start-up times if download times are consuming a large portion of time.

25 As described in the various standards defining the different flavours of Digital Subscriber Line (DSL) systems, the procedure from power-up to run time operation takes modems through a series of handshakes and initialization procedures. These procedures require the modems to handle different data rates while maintaining a constant carrier frequency profile. In a multiple channel system, the assumption is that all channels may not be in the same state at any given time.

30 The maintenance of the constant carrier frequencies facilitates reuse of program code to perform some of the necessary tasks such as Fast Fourier Transforms (FFTs), equalization and the like. However, the changing data rates make it difficult to use one

processor for performing symbol-based operations on multiple channels. This is due to the fact that the modem cannot synchronize all channels with its own processing rate since the symbol rate for all channels is not equal. Therefore, the presence of different rates in a multi channel system precludes using a constant rate processor for all channels.

5 It is an object of the present invention to obviate or mitigate some of the above disadvantages.

## SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION

In accordance with the present invention there is provided a Single Instruction Multi Data (SIMD) architecture for controlling the processing of plurality of data streams. The 10 SIMD architecture comprises a memory for storing the data from the channels, a processor operatively coupled with the memory for processing data from the data streams, and a controller for controlling the processor. Storing the data in the memory de-couples the operating rate of the processor and the operating rate of the data streams.

15 In accordance with a further aspect of the present invention there is provided a method for controlling the processing of multiple data streams in a SIMD architecture. The method comprises the steps of storing data in a memory as it is received, determining, at predetermined times, whether all of said data has been received, providing a signal indicating that all of the data has been received, using the signal to determine which data to 20 process; and processing said data.

## BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS

An embodiment of the invention will now be described by way of example only with reference to the following drawings in which:

25 **Figure 1a** is a block diagram of a standard SIMD architecture with multiple data paths;

**Figure 1b** is a block diagram of a standard SIMD architecture with a single, time-shared data path;

**Figure 2** is a block diagram of a circular buffer architecture; and

30 **Figure 3** is a block diagram of SIMD architecture according to an embodiment of the invention.

## DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE PREFERRED EMBODIMENT

For convenience, in the following description like numerals refer to like structures in the drawings.

5 Figure 2 illustrates a circular buffer architecture, represented generally by the numeral 20. The circular buffer 20 is partitioned into three distinct sections. The first section 22 is for pre-processed symbols, the second section 24 is for present symbol processing, and the third section 26 is for post-processed symbol extraction. A symbol manager 28 is used for managing the locations of these symbols.

10 Figure 3 illustrates an SIMD architecture, represented by the numeral 30. The architecture 30 includes a PCU 12, multiple data paths 13, multiple data memories 14 and multiple processors 15. The architecture also includes enable signals 32, coupled to the processors 15.

15 Referring to figure 2, data is typical input serially into the pre-processed section 22, Once the data has been received it is rotated to the present symbol processing section 24, where it is parallel processed. Once the processing is complete, the symbol is rotated to the post-processed section 26 of the buffer 20, where it is output serially. Although the symbol is rotated through several sections of the buffer 20, its physical location does not necessarily change. Changing the location of the symbol can be done, however it would 20 required more time and more memory.

Maintaining the same location for a particular symbol is accomplished since the buffer 20 is circular. Rather than have the address of the symbol physically rotate, the sections 22, 24, and 26 of the buffer 20 rotate about predetermined addresses. Therefore, an address that points to an incoming symbol is in the pre-processed section 22. Once the 25 symbol has completely arrived and is being processed, the address that points to that symbol is in the processing section 24. Once the symbol has been processed, that address is considered to be in the post-processed section 26.

The symbol manager 28 locates the base address for each of the symbols, allowing the circular nature of the buffer 20 to be transparent to each device accessing the data. The 30 input data enters the buffer 20 at an arbitrary data rate. The data is loaded sequentially into

the pre-processed section 22 until a complete symbol is collected. At that point the symbol manager 28 advances to the next base pointer location.

The PCU indicates the start of a processing cycle with a Start of Processing (SOP) pulse. At each SOP pulse, the base pointer for the processing section 24 is compared to the 5 base pointer for the incoming symbol (in the pre-processed section 22). The difference between the base pointers indicates whether or not a full symbol is ready for processing. If a full symbol is present, the enable signal 32 (shown in figure 3) for that symbol is activated. Otherwise, the enable signal 32 remains inactive and the comparison is done again at the next SOP. Therefore, only the processors that have received a complete 10 symbol are enabled.

As each of the devices completes processing their respective symbols, the symbol manager 28 advances the base pointer of the processing section 24 to the next symbol.

Once the base pointer of the processing section 24 advances, the processed symbol is in the post-processed section 26. The extraction of the post-processed data is slaved to the 15 processor, and is only performed after the symbol has been processed.

An advantage of this type of buffering scheme is that the processor is de-coupled from the incoming data rate of each channel. This is true with the restriction that the SOP of the processor is greater than, or equal to the maximum baud rate of the channels. If this were not true, it is possible that incoming data could overwrite previously received data 20 before it is processed. Therefore, the net processing rate of each channel is approximately equal to the baud rate for that channel since its processor may be periodically disabled.

The rate at which any given channel is disabled (assuming 0 jitter between each of the baud rates) is given by:

$$\%PROC_{OFF} = \frac{Fbaud_{SOP} - Fbaud_{CHAN}}{Fbaud_{SOP}}$$

25 This equation also indicates the "bursty" nature of the data output rate. That is, the output is provided in bursts, when the processor is enabled, rather than a constant steady stream. Also, the varying instantaneous latency due to the gapped processing can be determined.

Since the data is assumed to be arriving at a constant input rate, any gaps in the 30 processing increase buffering requirements. However since the worst case, or fastest, baud

rate of the channel is equal to the baud rate of the processor, the buffering requirement is limited to the symbol size for each of the three sections 22, 24, and 26.

Implementing an SIMD in this manner provides several advantages. The architecture ultimately results in a net decrease in gate count and expended power, since the processors are only used for completely received symbols. Buffering requirements can be combined with those necessary for other considerations in the signal processing. Therefore little or no extra memory is required. The structure can be applied to any symbol size. This includes processing on a sample by sample basis. The structure can be expanded to accommodate any number of channels. Lastly, this structure has direct applications to implementations of ITU G.992.2, and other standards for DSL systems, since the baud rate changes throughout operation.

In an alternate embodiment, it is possible that the data is received in parallel and the output transmitted in parallel.

In yet another embodiment, it is possible that the data is received serially and the output transmitted in parallel.

In yet another embodiment, it is possible that the data is received in parallel and the output transmitted serially.

It is possible to implement the system as described above using other SIMD implementations and will be apparent to a person skilled in the art.

Although the invention has been described with reference to certain specific embodiments, various modifications thereof will be apparent to those skilled in the art without departing from the spirit and scope of the invention as outlined in the claims appended hereto.

**THE EMBODIMENTS OF THE INVENTION IN WHICH AN EXCLUSIVE PROPERTY OR PRIVILEGE IS CLAIMED ARE DEFINED AS FOLLOWS:**

1. A Single Instruction Multi Data (SIMD) architecture for controlling the processing of  
5 plurality of data streams comprising:
  - a memory for storing said data from said channels;
  - a processor operatively coupled with said memory for processing data from said data streams;
  - a controller for controlling said processor; and

10 wherein storing said data in said memory de-couples the operating rate of said processor and the operating rate of said channels.
2. A SIMD architecture as defined in claim 2 wherein said data streams are carried in respective ones of a plurality of channels.
- 15 3. A method for controlling the processing of multiple data streams in an SIMD architecture comprising the steps of:
  - storing data in a memory as it is received;
  - at regular intervals, determining whether all of said data has been received;
  - 20 providing a signal indicating that all of said data has been received;
  - using said signal to determine which data to process; and
  - processing said data;
- 25 4. A SIMD architecture as defined in claim 3 wherein said data streams are carried in respective ones of a plurality of channels.




FIGURE 1




FIGURE 3




FIGURE 2